

1
2
3
4
5
6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
7 AT SEATTLE

8 DANIEL JAY PEREZ,
9 Plaintiff,

10 v.

11 CALVIN COGBURN,
12 Defendant.

CASE NO. C18-1800-JLR-BAT

**ORDER ON MOTION FOR
CLARIFICATION AND
DIRECTING FILING OF WAIVER**

13 Plaintiff has filed a “praecipe to issue summons” for several defendants and a “motion for
14 clarification of ECF order directing service.” Dkt. 31, 32. Plaintiff’s “motion” requests
15 clarification as to whether defendants who have already appeared and waived service need to be
16 re-served. By order dated March 6, 2019, the Court directed the Clerk to send plaintiff’s
17 amended complaint along with requests for waivers of summons to all named defendants. Dkt.
18 24. Counsel for the State defendants appears to have filed a notice of appearance and waivers of
19 service of summons in response to the amended complaint with respect to all named defendants.

20 Counsel for the only other (non-state) defendant, Norah Bloomingdale, has filed a notice
21 of appearance and a waiver of service of summons with respect to plaintiff’s original complaint
22 but has not explicitly waived service with respect to plaintiff’s amended complaint. Dkts. 11, 12.
23 Accordingly, **on or before April 17, 2019**, counsel for defendant Bloomingdale is directed to

1 file a waiver of service or summons, or otherwise indicate to the Court that service is waived,
2 with respect to the amended complaint.

3 In response to plaintiff's request for clarification **plaintiff is informed that he is not**
4 **required to serve or re-serve any defendants.**

5 The Clerk is directed to terminate plaintiff's motion (Dkt. 32) and to strike plaintiff's
6 praecipe to issue summons (Dkt. 31) as unnecessary. The Clerk is further directed to provide a
7 copy of this order to plaintiff and to counsel for defendants.

8
9 DATED this 11th day of April, 2019.

10
11 
12 _____
13 BRIAN A. TSUCHIDA
14 United States Magistrate Judge
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23